WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Mr. Soumitra Pal, Chairman The Hon'ble Dr. Subesh Kumar Das, Member (A)

Case No <u>- OA- 425 of 2020.</u>

Madhai Charan Pal. Vs The State of West Bengal & Others.

	Madhai Charan Pal. <u>Vs</u> The State of West Bengal & Others	5.
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature
1		of parties when necessary 3
	Vacation Bench	<u> </u>
1		
09/11/2020	For the Applicant : Mr. M.N. Roy,	
03/11/2020	Mr. G. Halder,	
	Advocates	
	For the State Respondents: Mrs S. N. Ray,	
	Advocate.	
	At the very outset, Mr. M.N. Roy, learned	
	advocate for the applicant submits that in view of	
	the urgency, copies of the application have been	
	served on the respondents and affidavit of service	
	to that effect is filed in the court. Let it be kept on	
	record.	
	In this application, Madhai Charan Pal, the	
	applicant has prayed for certain reliefs, the relevant	
	portion of which is as under :-	
	" (a) An order do issue thereby setting thereby	
	aside and/or quashing the rejection order passed	
	by the respondent No.4 (being the Enquiring	
	Authority) to the representation dated 17.10.2020	
	praying for engaging an Advocate as defense	
	assistant in the on-going disciplinary proceding	
	against your applicant, as the enquiring authority	
	is neither the disciplinary authority nor the	
	appellate authority of your applicant to pass such	
	rejection order.	

Madhai Charan Pal.

Fc	١r	m	1	V	0	
	,,			v	u	

....

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No <u>- OA- 425</u>	<u>of 2020.</u>	
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	(b) An order to issue directing the Discirplinary	
	Authority being the respondent No.3 the	
	Superintendent of Police, Murshidabad to take	
	a decision on the representation dated	
	17.10.2020 prying for engaging an Advocate	
	on defense assistant in the on-going	
	disciplinary proceeding against your applicant	
	in accordance with law within a stipulated	
	period of time.	
	(c) A further order do issue directing the	
	respondent authorities specially respondent	
	No.3 herein The Superintendent of Police,	
	Murshidabad Police District (being the	
	disciplinary authority) to change the enquiring	
	officer being respondent No.4 herein	
	immediately, as the respondent No.4 has	
	already made up his mind and prejudged the	
	issue."	
	It is submitted by Mr. Roy that the applicant	
	who is SRO II Murshidabad District Police Force is	
	facing an enquiry on two counts of charges. He has	
	submitted his written defence wherein he has	
	pleaded not guilty to the charges framed against	
	him and he has pleaded "for an open enquiry". It	
	appears though the applicant has made a prayer	
	for engagement of advocate on his behalf to defend	

Form No.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Madhai Charan Pal.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1 Conder of the Tribunal with signature 2	
him in his departmental proceeding before the Superintendent of Police, Murshidabad Police District, the respondent no3 and representation to that effect was submitted on 17.10.2020 being annexure-"D" to the application, however, a reply has been given which is not only devoid of any reason it also speaks of bias. In this regard, our attention has been drawn at page-20 of the application. Mr. S.N. Ray, learned advocate for respondent submits that the reply furnished by Circle Inspector, Lalbagh, respondent no4 appearing at page-20 of the application to the representation dated 17.10.2020 is just and proper. In reply it is submitted that on behalf of the	
him in his departmental proceeding before the Superintendent of Police, Murshidabad Police District, the respondent no3 and representation to that effect was submitted on 17.10.2020 being annexure-"D" to the application, however, a reply has been given which is not only devoid of any reason it also speaks of bias. In this regard, our attention has been drawn at page-20 of the application. Mr. S.N. Ray, learned advocate for respondent submits that the reply furnished by Circle Inspector, Lalbagh, respondent no4 appearing at page-20 of the application to the representation dated 17.10.2020 is just and proper. In reply it is submitted that on behalf of the	ssary
District, the respondent no3 and representation to that effect was submitted on 17.10.2020 being annexure-"D" to the application, however, a reply has been given which is not only devoid of any reason it also speaks of bias. In this regard, our attention has been drawn at page-20 of the application. Mr. S.N. Ray, learned advocate for respondent submits that the reply furnished by Circle Inspector, Lalbagh, respondent no4 appearing at page-20 of the application to the representation dated 17.10.2020 is just and proper. In reply it is submitted that on behalf of the	
to that effect was submitted on 17.10.2020 being annexure-"D" to the application, however, a reply has been given which is not only devoid of any reason it also speaks of bias. In this regard, our attention has been drawn at page-20 of the application. Mr. S.N. Ray, learned advocate for respondent submits that the reply furnished by Circle Inspector, Lalbagh, respondent no4 appearing at page-20 of the application to the representation dated 17.10.2020 is just and proper. In reply it is submitted that on behalf of the	
annexure-"D" to the application, however, a reply has been given which is not only devoid of any reason it also speaks of bias. In this regard, our attention has been drawn at page-20 of the application. Mr. S.N. Ray, learned advocate for respondent submits that the reply furnished by Circle Inspector, Lalbagh, respondent no4 appearing at page-20 of the application to the representation dated 17.10.2020 is just and proper. In reply it is submitted that on behalf of the	
has been given which is not only devoid of any reason it also speaks of bias. In this regard, our attention has been drawn at page-20 of the application. Mr. S.N. Ray, learned advocate for respondent submits that the reply furnished by Circle Inspector, Lalbagh, respondent no4 appearing at page-20 of the application to the representation dated 17.10.2020 is just and proper. In reply it is submitted that on behalf of the	
reason it also speaks of bias. In this regard, our attention has been drawn at page-20 of the application. Mr. S.N. Ray, learned advocate for respondent submits that the reply furnished by Circle Inspector, Lalbagh, respondent no4 appearing at page-20 of the application to the representation dated 17.10.2020 is just and proper. In reply it is submitted that on behalf of the	
attention has been drawn at page-20 of the application. Mr. S.N. Ray, learned advocate for respondent submits that the reply furnished by Circle Inspector, Lalbagh, respondent no4 appearing at page-20 of the application to the representation dated 17.10.2020 is just and proper. In reply it is submitted that on behalf of the	
application. Mr. S.N. Ray, learned advocate for respondent submits that the reply furnished by Circle Inspector, Lalbagh, respondent no4 appearing at page-20 of the application to the representation dated 17.10.2020 is just and proper. In reply it is submitted that on behalf of the	
Mr. S.N. Ray, learned advocate for respondent submits that the reply furnished by Circle Inspector, Lalbagh, respondent no4 appearing at page-20 of the application to the representation dated 17.10.2020 is just and proper. In reply it is submitted that on behalf of the	
submits that the reply furnished by Circle Inspector, Lalbagh, respondent no4 appearing at page-20 of the application to the representation dated 17.10.2020 is just and proper. In reply it is submitted that on behalf of the	
Inspector, Lalbagh, respondent no4 appearing at page-20 of the application to the representation dated 17.10.2020 is just and proper. In reply it is submitted that on behalf of the	
page-20 of the application to the representation dated 17.10.2020 is just and proper. In reply it is submitted that on behalf of the	
dated 17.10.2020 is just and proper. In reply it is submitted that on behalf of the	
In reply it is submitted that on behalf of the	
applicant that the order at page-20 may set aside	
and proceeding may be stayed.	
Heard the learned advocate for the parties.	
We find that pursuant to the representation dated	
17th October, 2020, a reply has been furnished by	
the authorities which is as under :-	
"In response to your letter dated 17.10.2020 was	
sent to this Office Mail on 18.10.2020 at 21:56 hrs.	
From your personal Mail Id viz. Pal.madhai.64	
@gmail.com for engagement of an Advocate on	
behalf of you to face the open enquiry. I do hereby	

Form No.

Ma	dha	i Cł	naran	Pal	
1111	una		141411		•

	Vs.	
The State of	West Bengal	& Others

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
		<u> </u>
	informed you that your prayer is considered and	
	rejected as you (CO) have been serving many years	
	in Police Service and the matter of enquiry relates to	
	an issue which is very basic and fundamental	
	knowledge of every police personnel (.)"	
	We find that though the representation has	
	been rejected, however, while passing the order	
	under challenge no reason whatsoever has been	
	given with reference to the Rules. Therefore, since	
	it is a cryptic and non-speaking order, the said	
	order at page-20 passed by the respondent no4 is	
	set aside and quashed as sought for in prayer 'a' in	
	the application. Since the representation dated	
	17th October, 2020 was submitted before the	
	Superintendent of Police, Mushidabad District	
	Police, the respondent No.3, in view of prayer 'b'	
	the said respondent is directed to give a reply	
	within a week from the date of communication of	
	this order downloaded from the internet/website.	
	Till reply is given the disciplinary proceedings will	
	remain stayed. However, we make it clear that we	
	have not dealt with the other issues raised and	
	other prayers being particularly prayer "c" which	
	has been sought for in the application and all other	

Madhai Charan Pal.

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No - OA- 425		Office action with date
Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	and dated signature
Date of order.	2	of parties when necessary
	points are left open. The application is allowed to	3
	points are left open. The application is allowed to	
	the extent as indicated.	
	Since for circumstances beyond control the	
	Registry if unable to furnish plain copies of this	
	order to the learned advocates for the parties, the	
	Registry is directed to upload this order on the	
	website of the Tribunal forthwith and parties are	
	directed to act on the copies of the order down	
	loaded from the website.	
	(SUBESH KUMAR DAS) (SOUMITRA PAL) MEMBER(A) CHAIRMAN	
GM		